## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

		2008 AUG 11 PA 12: 03 This space for	
		CLERK US DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
ТО	10: Hi c Digitalion Hibor / Hi c Macroma Amerika Ch. Hutt		
FROM: K. Hammerly, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 8/7/2008			
CA	SE NO.: <u>08cv476</u>	DOCUMENT FILED BY: Plaintiff Zuck	
CASE TITLE: _Zuck v. Federal Bureau of Investigation			
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Notice of Motion and Motion to Render Judgment; Memo of P's and A's			
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:			
1	Local Rule	Discrepancy	
	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation	
	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper	
	5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.	
	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely	
	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document	
	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions	
	7.1	Missing table of contents	
	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself	
	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order	
		Supplemental documents require court order	
		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest	
X		OTHER: Re-submission of Notice of Motion and Motion from 8/6/08	
		Date forwarded: 8/7/08	
ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:			
X	•	be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.	
	The document is N copy of this order	OT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a on all parties.	
	Rejected documen	jected document to be returned to pro se or inmate?  Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers	
	Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant		
	to Local Rule 83.1	CHAMBERS OF:	
ı	Dated: 8/8/	08 By: <u>LCZ</u>	